

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/788,583	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIGHTSTONE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Etienne P. LeRoux	<b>Art Unit</b> 2161	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Etienne P. LeRoux.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) David McKenzie.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner suggested claim dependency of claim 19 be changed from claim 18 (now cancelled) to claim 14*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)